Unified Hamiltonian model for mesons and baryons

W. Xie[a] and P. Wang[ab]

[a] *Institute of High Energy Physics, CAS, P. O. Box 918(4), Beijing 100049, China and*

[b] *Theoretical Physics Center for Science Facilities, CAS, Beijing 100049, China*

A new Hamiltonian model is introduced to study the spectrum of light hadrons. It combines relativistic field theory with elements of the constituent quark model. In addition to the standard linear confining and pseudoscalar meson exchange interactions with predetermined parameters, an additional interaction with different covariant spin structures is examined. Using a large scale Monte Carlo variational procedure, the resulting model Hamiltonian provides a very good, unified description of the light quark baryon (both octet and decuplet) and meson spectra.

PACS numbers: 12.39.Ki; 12.39.Pn; 14.20.-c;14.40.-n

arXiv:1402.5716v1 [hep-ph] 24 Feb 2014

I. INTRODUCTION

Although QCD is widely accepted as the fundamental theory for the strong interaction, it is extremely challenging to calculate the observed hadron spectrum directly from the QCD Lagrangian. Due to non-Abelian and nonperturbative aspects, one method is lattice QCD which provides reasonably well described ground states [1–3] and some success for excited states [4–6]. However for light hadrons such as the pion and even the proton, accurate predictions are still not possible. Consequently there are many phenomenological models and effective theories, such as QCD sum rules [7–11], NRQCD [12–14], chiral perturbation theory [15–19] along with potential models [20–22]. Typical potential models utilize a Cornell type interaction having linear confinement supplemented with the usual Coulomb potential governing short-distance behavior. A representative example is the constituent model detailed in Ref. [23] which, with an additional spin dependent interaction, obtained a good description of the light meson spectrum. This model has also been applied to baryons [24] with similar success but required different model parameters. To describe the interaction between color singlet objects potential models have been extended by including a meson-exchange interaction, such as the chiral $SU(3)$ quark potential model [25–28] that gave a good description of the baryon interaction.

A more theoretical and less phenomenological approach is the Coulomb gauge model which has been successfully applied to mesons [29–31], glueballs [32, 33], hybrids [34–36] and tetraquark states [37–39]. The predicted results are consistent with both lattice simulations and experimental data. Different from the above potential models, the Coulomb gauge approach entails relativistic field theory and is formulated in the same mathematical framework as the exact QCD Hamiltonian in the Coulomb gauge. Further, it contains no free model parameters as it only utilizes the known current quark masses and two dynamical constants, the string tension σ and the QCD coupling constant α_s, that are predetermined from the literature. While this model provides a reasonable hadron description it does not simultaneously reproduce both the meson and baryon spectrums with the same overall accuracy as the multi-parameter purely meson or baryon models mentioned above.

The purpose of the current work is to provide a unified model that can accurately reproduce both meson and baryon masses with the same set of model parameters which to date has not been achieved. The motivation is to develope a robust framework for reliably predicting and understanding more exotic systems such as light and heavy tetraquark states which are of intense interest. Building on the attractive theoretical features of the Coulomb gauge model and phenomenological successes of constituent quark models, a unified Hamiltonian approach has been developed that combines relativity, field theory and elements of the constituent quark model with, most significantly, a single set of parameters that can simultaneosuly describe both meson and baryon masses.

This paper is organized into six sections. In section II the unified Hamiltonion is described and then the meson and baryon model wavefunctions are detailed in sections III and IV, respectively. Section V presents numerical results and highlights the accurate hadron descriptions. Finally, conclusions are summarized in section VI.

II. MODEL HAMILTONIAN

The model Hamiltonian is

$$H_t \;=\; H_{kine} + H_{I0} + H_{I1} + H_{ch}, \tag{1}$$

where H_{kine} is the relativistic kinetic energy

$$H_{kine} = \int d\mathbf{x}\Psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x})(-i\alpha\cdot\nabla + \beta m)\Psi(\mathbf{x}), \tag{2}$$

and H_{I0}, H_{I1}, and H_{ch} are the interactions detailed below. Similar to the Coulomb gauge model [29, 31], H_{I0} is the confining interaction

$$H_{I0} = -\frac{1}{2}\int d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y}[\bar{\Psi}(\mathbf{x})\gamma^0 T^a\Psi(\mathbf{x})]V_0(|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|)[\bar{\Psi}(\mathbf{y})\gamma^0 T^a\Psi(\mathbf{y})], \tag{3}$$

where $T^a = \frac{\lambda^a}{2}$ are the color $SU(3)$ group generators and $V_0(|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|)$ is a Cornell type potential

$$V_0(|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|) = \frac{C}{(2\pi)^3} - \frac{\alpha_s}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|} + \sigma|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|. \tag{4}$$

Following constituent quark models a constant energy C is introduced and σ, α_s are the same as in the Coulomb gauge model. This is a "charge-charge" color interaction. Performing a Fourier transformation, the potential in momentum space is

$$V_0(|\mathbf{q}|) = C\delta^3(\mathbf{q}) - \frac{4\pi\alpha_s}{q^2} - \frac{8\pi\sigma}{q^4} + \delta^3(\mathbf{q})\int d\mathbf{q}'\frac{8\pi\sigma}{q'^4}. \tag{5}$$

The last term is to satisfy the condition that at $r = 0$, the confining potential is zero [40]. It is also important to deal with the divergence of the integral with linear confining potential at zero momentum transfer.

The interaction between two colored objects can have other forms, for example, "current-current" interaction, "spin-spin" interaction, etc. In particular to account for hadron spin splittings a hyperfine type interaction H_{I1} is included with structure

$$H_{I1} = -\frac{1}{2}\int d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y}[\bar{\Psi}(\mathbf{x})\Gamma T^a\Psi(\mathbf{x})]V_1(|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|)[\bar{\Psi}(\mathbf{y})\Gamma T^a\Psi(\mathbf{y})]. \tag{6}$$

The Γ matrix can be $1, \vec{\gamma}, \gamma_5, \vec{\gamma}\gamma_0, \gamma_5\gamma_0, \vec{\gamma}\gamma_5$. The potential $V_1(|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|)$ is taken to be similar to V_0 with linear and Coulomb terms

$$V_1(|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|) = -\frac{\alpha_1}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|} + \sigma_1|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|. \tag{7}$$

$$\tag{8}$$

This interaction will be used to reproduce the $\pi\rho$ splitting which is large due to the small π mass governed by chiral symmetry as documented in Ref. [29] which uses a Random Phase Approximation diagonalization to obtain a light chiral pion. Here a light pion mass is obtained entirely via spin-splitting similar to the constituent treatment of Ref. [23].

The above interaction is between two colored objects. To describe interacting color singlet hadrons a pseudoscalar meson exchange interaction H_{ch} is also included using the quark-meson Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{ch} = -g_{ch}\overline{\psi}(i\gamma_5\sum_{a=1}^{8}\lambda_a\pi_a)\psi. \tag{9}$$

Here λ_a are the $SU_f(3)$ generators and π_a are the pseudoscalar meson fields. The coupling constant g_{ch} is determined from the $NN\pi$ interaction [27]

$$\frac{g_{ch}^2}{4\pi} = \frac{9}{25}\frac{m_u^2}{m_N^2}\frac{g_{NN\pi}^2}{4\pi}, \tag{10}$$

where $\frac{g_{NN\pi}^2}{4\pi} = 13.67$ [41]. The constituent quark mass m_u is chosen to be 220 MeV [23, 24]. The Goldstone field is

$$\sum_{a=1}^{8}\lambda_a\pi_a = \begin{pmatrix} \pi^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\eta & \sqrt{2}\pi^+ & \sqrt{2}K^+ \\ \sqrt{2}\pi^- & -\pi^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\eta & \sqrt{2}K^0 \\ \sqrt{2}K^- & \sqrt{2}\bar{K}^0 & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\eta \end{pmatrix}. \tag{11}$$

From the quark-meson interaction the one-meson exchange potential can be extracted. For example, the one-pion exchange potential between two color singlets is

$$H^{\pi}_{ch} = \frac{1}{2}\int d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y}[\bar{\Psi}(\mathbf{x})\gamma^5\Psi(\mathbf{x})]V^{\pi}_{ch}(|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|)[\bar{\Psi}(\mathbf{y})\gamma^5\Psi(\mathbf{y})], \tag{12}$$

where $V^{\pi}_{ch}(|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|)$ is the Fourier transformation of $\hat{V}^{\pi}_{ch}(\mathbf{q})$

$$\hat{V}^{\pi}_{ch}(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{g^2_{ch}}{\mathbf{q}^2+m^2_{\pi}}. \tag{13}$$

The pseudoscalar meson mass in the meson exchange potential is chosen to be the experimental value.

In the above equations, the quark field operators can be expanded

$$\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = \int\frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3}[u_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k})b_{\lambda\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{k})+v_{\lambda}(-\mathbf{k})d^{\dagger}_{\lambda\mathcal{C}}(-\mathbf{k})]e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathcal{C}}, \tag{14}$$

$$\bar{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}) = \int\frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3}[\bar{u}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k})b^{\dagger}_{\lambda\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{k})+\bar{v}_{\lambda}(-\mathbf{k})d_{\lambda\mathcal{C}}(-\mathbf{k})]e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}\bar{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{\mathcal{C}}, \tag{15}$$

where the Dirac spinors are

$$u_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}\sqrt{\frac{\omega+m}{\omega}}\chi_{\lambda}\\ \sqrt{\frac{\omega-m}{\omega}}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{k}}\chi_{\lambda}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{16}$$

$$v_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}\sqrt{\frac{\omega-m}{\omega}}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{k}}\}\\ \sqrt{\frac{\omega+m}{\omega}}\chi_{\lambda}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{17}$$

and $\omega = \sqrt{m^2+\mathbf{k}^2}$. The spinors χ_{λ} are, fermions, $\chi_+ = \begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}$, $\chi_- = \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}$ and anti-fermions, $\chi_+ = \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}$, $\chi_- = \begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}$.

III. MESONS

In the center of momentum the meson state $|q\bar{q}\rangle$ is given by

$$|\Psi^{J^{PC}}\rangle = \sum_{\mathcal{C}_1\mathcal{C}_3\lambda_1\lambda_3}\int\frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3}\Psi^{J^{PC}}_{\mathcal{C}_1\mathcal{C}_3\lambda_1\lambda_3}(\mathbf{k})b^{\dagger}_{\mathcal{C}_1\lambda_1}(\mathbf{k})d^{\dagger}_{\mathcal{C}_3\lambda_3}(-\mathbf{k})|0\rangle, \tag{18}$$

with convention 1 for a quark having momentum $\mathbf{k}$ and 3 for an anti-quark having momentum $-\mathbf{k}$. Color and spin are represented by $\mathcal{C}$ and λ, respectively. The wavefunction $\Psi^{J^{PC}}_{\mathcal{C}_1\mathcal{C}_3\lambda_1\lambda_3}(\mathbf{k})$ has form

$$\Psi^{J^{PC}}_{\mathcal{C}_1\mathcal{C}_3\lambda_1\lambda_3}(\mathbf{k}) = \delta_{\mathcal{C}_1\mathcal{C}_3}f(k)\sum_{m_Lm_S}\langle\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1\lambda_3|Sm_S\rangle\langle LSm_Lm_S|Jm_J\rangle(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}-\lambda_3}Y^{m_L}_L(\mathbf{k}), \tag{19}$$

with spin and angular momentum coupling $\hat{S}_1+\hat{S}_3=\hat{S}$, $\hat{L}+\hat{S}=\hat{J}$, $Y^m_L(\mathbf{k})$ is the spherical harmonic function and $f(k)$ is the radial wavefunction with variational parameter α

$$f(k) = k^{2L}e^{-\frac{k^2}{\alpha}}. \tag{20}$$

The meson mass is given by

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \frac{\langle\Psi^{J^{PC}}|H_t|\Psi^{J^{PC}}\rangle}{\langle\Psi^{J^{PC}}|\Psi^{J^{PC}}\rangle}\\ &= \frac{\langle\Psi^{J^{PC}}|H_{kine}|\Psi^{J^{PC}}\rangle+\langle\Psi^{J^{PC}}|H_{I0}|\Psi^{J^{PC}}\rangle+\langle\Psi^{J^{PC}}|H_{I1}|\Psi^{J^{PC}}\rangle+\langle\Psi^{J^{PC}}|H_{ch}|\Psi^{J^{PC}}\rangle}{\langle\Psi^{J^{PC}}|\Psi^{J^{PC}}\rangle}\\ &= M_{kine}+M_0+M_1+M_{ch}, \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where

$$M_{kine} = \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3}(\sqrt{m_1^2+\mathbf{k}^2}+\sqrt{m_3^2+\mathbf{k}^2})f^2(k) \times \sum_{\lambda_1\lambda_3 m_L m_S}\left[\langle\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1\lambda_3|Sm_S\rangle\langle LSm_L m_S|Jm_J\rangle\right]^2 Y_L^{*m_L}(\mathbf{k})Y_L^{m_L}(\mathbf{k}), \quad (22)$$

$$M_0 = \frac{4}{3}\int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d\mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^3}\hat{V}_0(|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'|)f(k)f(k') \times \sum_{\lambda_1\lambda_3 m_L m_S}\sum_{\lambda_1'\lambda_3' m_L' m_S'}\langle\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1'\lambda_3'|S'm_S'\rangle\langle L'S'm_L'm_S'|J'm_J'\rangle\langle\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1\lambda_3|Sm_S\rangle\langle LSm_L m_S|Jm_J\rangle (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}-\lambda_3+\frac{1}{2}-\lambda_3'}Y_{L'}^{*m_L'}(\mathbf{k}')Y_L^{m_L}(\mathbf{k})\left[\bar{u}_{\lambda_1'}(\mathbf{k}')\gamma^0 u_{\lambda_1}(\mathbf{k})\right]\left[\bar{v}_{\lambda_3}(-\mathbf{k})\gamma^0 v_{\lambda_3'}(-\mathbf{k}')\right], \quad (23)$$

$$M_1 = \frac{4}{3}\int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d\mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^3}\hat{V}_1(|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'|)f(k)f(k') \times \sum_{\lambda_1\lambda_3 m_L m_S}\sum_{\lambda_1'\lambda_3' m_L' m_S'}\langle\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1'\lambda_3'|S'm_S'\rangle\langle L'S'm_L'm_S'|J'm_J'\rangle\langle\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1\lambda_3|Sm_S\rangle\langle LSm_L m_S|Jm_J\rangle (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}-\lambda_3+\frac{1}{2}-\lambda_3'}Y_{L'}^{*m_L'}(\mathbf{k}')Y_L^{m_L}(\mathbf{k})\left[\bar{u}_{\lambda_1'}(\mathbf{k}')\Gamma u_{\lambda_1}(\mathbf{k})\right]\left[\bar{v}_{\lambda_3}(-\mathbf{k})\Gamma v_{\lambda_3'}(-\mathbf{k}')\right]. \quad (24)$$

The contribution from the meson-exchange interaction is

$$M_{ch} = \frac{4}{3}\int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d\mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^3}\hat{V}_{ch}(|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'|)f(k)f(k') \times \sum_{\lambda_1\lambda_3 m_L m_S}\sum_{\lambda_1'\lambda_3' m_L' m_S'}\langle\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1'\lambda_3'|S'm_S'\rangle\langle L'S'm_L'm_S'|J'm_J'\rangle\langle\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1\lambda_3|Sm_S\rangle\langle LSm_L m_S|Jm_J\rangle (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}-\lambda_3+\frac{1}{2}-\lambda_3'}Y_{L'}^{*m_L'}(\mathbf{k}')Y_L^{m_L}(\mathbf{k})\left[\bar{u}_{\lambda_1'}(\mathbf{k}')\gamma_5 u_{\lambda_1}(\mathbf{k})\right]\left[\bar{v}_{\lambda_3}(-\mathbf{k})\gamma_5 v_{\lambda_3'}(-\mathbf{k}')\right]. \quad (25)$$

IV. BARYONS

The baryon state can be constructed using quark creation operators acting on the vacuum state

$$|qqq, J^P\rangle = \sum_{\mathcal{C}_1\mathcal{C}_2\mathcal{C}_3}\int \frac{d\mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d\mathbf{k}_2}{(2\pi)^3}\Psi^{J^P}_{\mathcal{C}_1\mathcal{C}_2\mathcal{C}_3\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3 f_1 f_2 f_3}(\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_2,\mathbf{k}_3)b^\dagger_{\mathcal{C}_1\lambda_1 f_1}(\mathbf{k}_1)b^\dagger_{\mathcal{C}_2\lambda_2 f_2}(\mathbf{k}_2)b^\dagger_{\mathcal{C}_3\lambda_3 f_3}(\mathbf{k}_3)|0\rangle, \quad (26)$$

here $\mathcal{C}$, λ, f represent color, spin and flavor, respectively. The baryon wave function, $\Psi^{J^P}_{\mathcal{C}_1\mathcal{C}_2\mathcal{C}_3\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3 f_1 f_2 f_3}(\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_2,\mathbf{k}_3)$, can be written as the product of momentum, flavor-spin and color wave functions

$$\Psi^{J^P}_{\mathcal{C}_1\mathcal{C}_2\mathcal{C}_3\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3 f_1 f_2 f_3}(\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_2,\mathbf{k}_3) = f(\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_2,\mathbf{k}_3)\times\psi_{fs}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,f_1,f_2,f_3)\times\psi_{color}(\mathcal{C}_1,\mathcal{C}_2,\mathcal{C}_3), \quad (27)$$

here ψ_{fs} is the flavor-spin wave function and ψ_{color} is the color wave function. Fermi-Dirac statistics requires the total baryon wave function must be antisymmetric under the exchange of quarks. The baryon color state is a singlet and is antisymmetric

$$\psi_{color}(\mathcal{C}_1,\mathcal{C}_2,\mathcal{C}_3) = \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}_1\mathcal{C}_2\mathcal{C}_3}. \quad (28)$$

Hence the remaining wave function must be symmetric. Since the ground state momentum wave function $f(\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_2,\mathbf{k}_3)$ is symmetric, the flavor-spin wave function ψ_{fs} must also be symmetric. For example the proton and Δ^+ flavor-spin wave functions ψ_{fs} are

$$\psi_{fs}(\text{proton},\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}(\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow-\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow)(udu-duu)+\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}(\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow-\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow)(uud-udu)+\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}(\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow-\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow)(uud-duu),$$
$$\psi_{fs}(\Delta^+,\frac{3}{2}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}[u(\uparrow)u(\uparrow)d(\uparrow)+u(\uparrow)d(\uparrow)u(\uparrow)+d(\uparrow)u(\uparrow)u(\uparrow)].$$



FIG. 1: Baryon diagrams for $\langle\psi_{qqq}|H_{phen}|\psi_{qqq}\rangle$

To construct a completely symmetric momentum space wave function the momentum Jacobi coordinates are utilized

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_{12} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1), \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{12} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 - 2\mathbf{k}_3), \tag{29}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_{23} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3), \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{23} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 - 2\mathbf{k}_1), \tag{30}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_{31} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}_1), \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{31} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(\mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{k}_1 - 2\mathbf{k}_2). \tag{31}$$

The proper symmetric variational wave function can then be written as

$$f(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) = e^{-\frac{\rho_{12}^2}{\alpha_1^2} - \frac{\lambda_{12}^2}{\alpha_2^2}} + e^{-\frac{\rho_{23}^2}{\alpha_1^2} - \frac{\lambda_{23}^2}{\alpha_2^2}} + e^{-\frac{\rho_{31}^2}{\alpha_1^2} - \frac{\lambda_{31}^2}{\alpha_2^2}}, \tag{32}$$

where α_1 and α_2 are determined by the variational method.

The proton is taken as an example for calculating the baryon octet mass. According to the discussion above, the proton state can be expressed as

$$|\text{proton}, \frac{1}{2}\rangle = \sum_{\mathcal{C}_1\mathcal{C}_2\mathcal{C}_3} \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d\mathbf{k}_2}{(2\pi)^3} f(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) \times \psi_{fs}(\text{proton}, \frac{1}{2}) \times \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}_1\mathcal{C}_2\mathcal{C}_3} b^\dagger_{\mathcal{C}_1\lambda_1 u}(\mathbf{k}_1) b^\dagger_{\mathcal{C}_2\lambda_2 u}(\mathbf{k}_2) b^\dagger_{\mathcal{C}_3\lambda_3 d}(\mathbf{k}_3)|0\rangle, \tag{33}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{fs}(\text{proton}, \frac{1}{2}) &= \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}(\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow - \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow)(udu - duu) + \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}(\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow - \uparrow\downarrow\uparrow)(uud - udu) + \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}(\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow - \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow)(uud - duu) \\
&= \frac{2}{3\sqrt{2}}u(\uparrow)u(\uparrow)d(\downarrow) - \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}u(\uparrow)u(\downarrow)d(\uparrow) - \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}u(\downarrow)u(\uparrow)d(\uparrow) \\
&+ \frac{2}{3\sqrt{2}}u(\uparrow)d(\downarrow)u(\uparrow) - \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}u(\downarrow)d(\uparrow)u(\uparrow) - \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}u(\uparrow)d(\uparrow)u(\downarrow) \\
&+ \frac{2}{3\sqrt{2}}d(\downarrow)u(\uparrow)u(\uparrow) - \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}d(\uparrow)u(\downarrow)u(\uparrow) - \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}d(\uparrow)u(\uparrow)u(\downarrow).
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Contributions to the Hamiltonian expectation value are summrized in Fig. 1. The expectation value for the proton mass is

$$\langle\text{proton}, \frac{1}{2}|H_t|\text{proton}, \frac{1}{2}\rangle = M_{kine} + M_{12} + M_{23} + M_{31}, \tag{35}$$

where the kinetic energy has the form

$$M_{kine} = \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d\mathbf{k}_2}{(2\pi)^3}(\sqrt{m_u^2 + \mathbf{k}_1{}^2} + \sqrt{m_u^2 + \mathbf{k}_2{}^2} + \sqrt{m_d^2 + \mathbf{k}_3{}^2}) f^2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3). \tag{36}$$

TABLE I: Expressions E_i and coefficients M_{12} for the proton.

spin	contributing terms	coefficient	matrix element
$\uparrow\uparrow\to\uparrow\uparrow$	$u(\uparrow)u(\uparrow)\to u(\uparrow)u(\uparrow)$	$\frac{4}{18}$	$E_1=[\bar{u}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_1{}')\Gamma u_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_1)][\bar{u}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_2{}')\Gamma u_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_2)]$
	$u(\uparrow)d(\uparrow)\to u(\uparrow)d(\uparrow)$	$\frac{2}{18}$	$E_2=[\bar{u}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_1{}')\Gamma u_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_1)][\bar{d}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_2{}')\Gamma d_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_2)]$
$\uparrow\downarrow\to\uparrow\downarrow$	$u(\uparrow)u(\downarrow)\to u(\uparrow)u(\downarrow)$	$\frac{2}{18}$	$E_3=[\bar{u}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_1{}')\Gamma u_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_1)][\bar{u}_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_2{}')\Gamma u_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_2)]$
	$u(\uparrow)d(\downarrow)\to u(\uparrow)d(\downarrow)$	$\frac{8}{18}$	$E_4=[\bar{u}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_1{}')\Gamma u_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_1)][\bar{d}_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_2{}')\Gamma d_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_2)]$
	$d(\uparrow)u(\downarrow)\to d(\uparrow)u(\downarrow)$	$\frac{2}{18}$	$E_5=[\bar{d}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_1{}')\Gamma d_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_1)][\bar{u}_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_2{}')\Gamma u_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_2)]$
$\uparrow\downarrow\to\downarrow\uparrow$	$u(\uparrow)u(\downarrow)\to u(\downarrow)u(\uparrow)$	$\frac{2}{18}$	$E_6=[\bar{u}_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_1{}')\Gamma u_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_1)][\bar{u}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_2{}')\Gamma u_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_2)]$
	$u(\uparrow)d(\downarrow)\to u(\downarrow)d(\uparrow)$	$-\frac{4}{18}$	$E_7=[\bar{u}_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_1{}')\Gamma u_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_1)][\bar{d}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_2{}')\Gamma d_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_2)]$
	$d(\uparrow)u(\downarrow)\to d(\downarrow)u(\uparrow)$	$-\frac{4}{18}$	$E_8=[\bar{d}_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_1{}')\Gamma d_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_1)][\bar{u}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_2{}')\Gamma u_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_2)]$

TABLE II: The expressions $E_1^{'}$, $E_2^{'}$ and coefficients $M_{12}^{'}$ for the Δ^+.

spin	contributing terms	coefficient	matrix element
$\uparrow\uparrow\to\uparrow\uparrow$	$u(\uparrow)u(\uparrow)\to u(\uparrow)u(\uparrow)$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$E_1^{'}=[\bar{u}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_1{}')\Gamma u_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_1)][\bar{u}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_2{}')\Gamma u_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_2)]$
	$u(\uparrow)d(\uparrow)\to u(\uparrow)d(\uparrow)$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$E_2^{'}=[\bar{u}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_1{}')\Gamma u_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_1)][\bar{d}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_2{}')\Gamma d_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{k}_2)]$

The matrix elements M_{12}, M_{23}, M_{31} have many terms due to the complexity of proton flavor-spin wave function ψ_{fs}. For example M_{12} is

$$M_{12}=-\frac{2}{3}\int\frac{d\mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d\mathbf{k}_2}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^3}f(\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_2,\mathbf{k}_3)f(\mathbf{k}_1',\mathbf{k}_2',\mathbf{k}_3')V(|\mathbf{q}|)(\frac{4}{18}E_1+\frac{2}{18}E_2+\frac{2}{18}E_3+\frac{8}{18}E_4+\frac{2}{18}E_5+\frac{2}{18}E_6-\frac{4}{18}E_7-\frac{4}{18}E_8). \tag{37}$$

The eight contributions E_i are given in Table I. They are classified by different spin configurations. The expressions for M_{13} (M_{23}) are similar to M_{12} with the replacement of k_2 and $k_2^{'}$ by k_3 and $k_3^{'}$ (k_1 and $k_1^{'}$ by k_3 and $k_3^{'}$). Due to the symmetry of the wave function, the numerical values of M_{12}, M_{13} and M_{23} are the same.

For the decuplet states the Δ^+ is used as a representative example and has wavefunction given by

$$|\Delta^+,\frac{3}{2}\rangle=\sum_{\mathcal{C}_1\mathcal{C}_2\mathcal{C}_3}\int\frac{d\mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d\mathbf{k}_2}{(2\pi)^3}f(\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_2,\mathbf{k}_3)\times\psi_{fs}(\Delta^+,\frac{3}{2})\times\varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}_1\mathcal{C}_2\mathcal{C}_3}b^\dagger_{\mathcal{C}_1\lambda_1 u}(\mathbf{k}_1)b^\dagger_{\mathcal{C}_2\lambda_2 u}(\mathbf{k}_2)b^\dagger_{\mathcal{C}_3\lambda_3 d}(\mathbf{k}_3)|0\rangle, \tag{38}$$

in which

$$\psi_{fs}(\Delta^+,\frac{3}{2})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}[u(\uparrow)u(\uparrow)d(\uparrow)+u(\uparrow)d(\uparrow)u(\uparrow)+d(\uparrow)u(\uparrow)u(\uparrow)], \tag{39}$$

and the mass is given by

$$\langle\Delta^+,\frac{3}{2}|H_t|\Delta^+,\frac{3}{2}\rangle=M_{kine}^{'}+M_{12}^{'}+M_{23}^{'}+M_{31}^{'}, \tag{40}$$

where

$$M_{kine}^{'}=\int\frac{d\mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d\mathbf{k}_2}{(2\pi)^3}(\sqrt{m_1^2+\mathbf{k}_1{}^2}+\sqrt{m_2^2+\mathbf{k}_2{}^2}+\sqrt{m_3^2+\mathbf{k}_3{}^2})f^2(\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_2,\mathbf{k}_3), \tag{41}$$

$$M_{12}^{'}=-\frac{2}{3}\int\frac{d\mathbf{k_1}}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d\mathbf{k_2}}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^3}f(\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_2,\mathbf{k}_3)f(\mathbf{k}_1',\mathbf{k}_2',\mathbf{k}_3')V(|\mathbf{q}|)[E_1^{'}+E_2^{'}], \tag{42}$$

The expressions for $E_1^{'}$ and $E_2^{'}$ are given in Table II. Again, due to the symmetry of the wave function, the numerical values for $M_{13}^{'}$ and $M_{23}^{'}$ are the same as $M_{12}^{'}$.

TABLE III: Unified model parametersl. The different meson [23] and baryon [24] parameters from Isgur et al. are also listed.

Parameters	$\xi = 2.1$	$\xi = 1.0$	Ref. [23]	Ref. [24]
m_u/m_d (MeV)	313	50	220	220
m_s (MeV)	660	640	419	419
α_s	0.40	0.40	0.60	0.60
σ (GeV^2)	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.15
C (MeV)	-195	-198	-253	-615
α_1	0.762	0.490		
σ_1 (GeV^2)	0.207	0.0625		

V. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The new spin splitting interaction Hamiltonian H_{I1} was investigated by calculating the meson and baryon masses for all possible Γ matrices. The interaction with matrices 1 and γ_5 invert the baryon octet and decuplet spectra, i.e. produce larger octet masses than decuplet masses. The matrices $\vec{\gamma}\gamma_0, \gamma_5\gamma_0$, and $\vec{\gamma}\gamma_5$ produce P wave meson masses several hundred MeV lower than the experimental values. Only the interaction with the $\Gamma = \vec{\gamma}$ could produce reasonable baryon and meson masses simultaneously. This is the same Lorenz structure as in Ref. [30] using an effective one gluon exchange hyperfine interaction. Then using the predetermined values $\sigma = .18$ GeV^2 and $\alpha_s = .4$, the remaining free model parameters, the $u/d, s$ quark masses, the potential strengths σ_1 and α_1 in H_{I1} and constant C in H_{I0}, were determined by reproducing the light quark meson spectrum. These parameters are listed in Table III along with Godfrey and Isgur's quark model values for comparison. The inclusion of the meson-exchange interaction does not add a free parameter.

In this model the relativistic four-component spinor u_λ is related to the free quark propagator by

$$\sum_{\lambda=1,2} u_\lambda(\mathbf{k})\bar{u}_\lambda(\mathbf{k}) = \not{p} + m. \tag{43}$$

However for confined quarks lattice results obtain a different propagator so modified spinors of the form

$$u_\lambda(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{\omega+\xi m}{\omega}}\chi_\lambda \\ \sqrt{\frac{\omega-\xi m}{\omega}}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{k}}\chi_\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \tag{44}$$

$$v_\lambda(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{\omega-\xi m}{\omega}}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{k}}\chi_\lambda \\ \sqrt{\frac{\omega+\xi m}{\omega}}\chi_\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \tag{45}$$

have also been investigated. Here $\omega = \sqrt{k^2 + \xi^2 m^2}$ with parameter ξ. The free quark spinor is obtained for $\xi = 1$ while $\xi \to \infty$ produces the non-relativistic two component spinor χ_λ. The hadron spectra were studied for different values of ξ and quark masses and the results are listed in Tables III and IV. While different sets of values produce comparable hadron spectra, the value $\xi = 2.1$ yields quark masses similar to the constiuent quark model while for $\xi = 1$ a much lighter u quark mass of 50 MeV is required. Note the quark masses are purely parameters which should not necessarily be identified as constituent quark masses.

The hadron masses are obtained by variationally using using the Monte Carlo method and are compared to experiment in Table IV. Only meson states suggested as $q\bar{q}$ in the PDG review table (Table 14.2) [42] are addressed. For mesons the Goldstone exchange interaction is small, less than 20 MeV. However for baryons it is larger, reducing the decuplet masses by about 30 MeV and for octets between 60 and 100 MeV which is now sufficient to reproduce the observed 300 MeV $N\Delta$ mass splitting. This is gratifying because this splitting without Goldstone exchange is only 250 MeV. It appears Goldstone exchange interactions play an important role in the baryon spectrum [43].

The calculated meson masses agree quite well with PDG data, especially the 0^{-+} and 1^{--} states. The $\pi\rho$ splitting is close to 640 MeV and the KK^* splitting is about 400 MeV. In traditional quark models these splittings are produced by the color hyperfine interaction. In this work it is predominantly obtained from the Hamiltonian H_{I1} which lowers the 0^{-+} masses by about 500 MeV while reducing 1^{--} masses less than 100 MeV.

The model parameters were mainly determined by the π, ρ/ω, K and K^* masses and then the remaining hadron masses were predicted. With the exception of three states (h_1, K_0^* and f_0) the overall meson and baryon specta are in very good agreement with observation. This model calculation also predicts that the lightest scalar mesons have

TABLE IV: Calculated hadron spectrum in MeV. The experimental values from PDG are listed in the last column.

J^{PC}	Meson	This work($\xi = 2.1$)	This work($\xi = 1.0$)	PDG
	π	141	137	135
0^{-+}	K	494	498	493
	ρ	778	779	776
1^{--}	K^*	891	888	894
	ϕ	1029	995	1020
	b_1	1195	1043	1235
1^{+-}	K_{1B}	1346	1277	
	h_1	1512	1485	1380
	a_0	1460	1352	1450
0^{++}	K_0^*	1519	1473	1430
	f_0	1623	1609	1710
$\frac{1}{2}^+$	N	934	941	938
	Λ	1158	1180	1116
	Σ	1204	1196	1189
	Ξ	1360	1340	1314
$\frac{3}{2}^+$	Δ	1233	1254	1232
	Σ^*	1385	1400	1385
	Ξ^*	1544	1543	1533
	Ω	1704	1681	1672

mass well above 1 GeV. This would indicate that the $a_0(980)$, $f_0(980)$ and $f_0(500)$ mesons are not pure $q\bar{q}$ states and possibly have a tetraquark structure.

VI. SUMMARY

A new, unified Hamiltonian model has been developed which combines the attractive features of phenomenologically based quark models with many of the theoretical ingredients common to QCD. A new spin interaction has also been investigated for a variety of Lorentz structures with a clear preference for $\Gamma = \vec{\gamma}$. A Goldstone exchange interaction was also included and, along with the spin interaction, found necessary to accurately reproduce the $N\Delta$ mass splitting.

The parameters are mainly determined by fitting the π, ρ/ω, K and K^* masses. The remaining meson and baryon masses were then predicted and found to be in good agrrement with observation. All scalar mesons are predicted to have mass well above 1 GeV suggesting the $a_0(980)$, $f_0(980)$ and $f_0(500)$ are not simple $q\bar{q}$ states but perhaps tetraquarks. Most significantly, a good Hamiltonian description for the meson, baryon (octet and decuplet) spectra has been obtained with a common set of parameters which has previously not been achieved.

Future work will address heavy quark systems to further test this model. If robust results are obtained applications to exotic systems will be performed.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to S. R. Cotanch for helpful discussions. This work is supported in part by DFG and NSFC (CRC 110) and by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11035006).

[1] C. R. Allton *et al.* [UKQCD Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **60**, 034507 (1999) [hep-lat/9808016].
[2] S. Aoki *et al.* [CP-PACS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 238 (2000) [hep-lat/9904012].
[3] D. B. Leinweber, A. W. Thomas, K. Tsushima and S. V. Wright, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 074502 (2000) [hep-lat/9906027].
[4] S. Sasaki, T. Blum and S. Ohta, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 074503 (2002) [hep-lat/0102010].
[5] R. G. Edwards, J. J. Dudek, D. G. Richards and S. J. Wallace, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 074508 (2011) [arXiv:1104.5152 [hep-ph]].

[6] W. Melnitchouk, S. O. Bilson-Thompson, F. D. R. Bonnet, J. N. Hedditch, F. X. Lee, D. B. Leinweber, A. G. Williams and J. M. Zanotti, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 114506 (2003) [hep-lat/0202022].
[7] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B **147**, 385 (1979).
[8] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B **147**, 448 (1979).
[9] B. L. Ioffe, Nucl. Phys. B **188**, 317 (1981) [Erratum-ibid. B **191**, 591 (1981)].
[10] Y. -B. Dai, C. -S. Huang, C. Liu and S. -L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 114011 (2003) [hep-ph/0306274].
[11] Z. G. Wang and S. L. Wan, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 014017 (2006) [hep-ph/0606002].
[12] N. Brambilla, A. Pineda, J. Soto and A. Vairo, Nucl. Phys. B **566**, 275 (2000) [hep-ph/9907240].
[13] B. A. Kniehl, A. A. Penin, V. A. Smirnov and M. Steinhauser, Nucl. Phys. B **635**, 357 (2002) [hep-ph/0203166].
[14] A. Pineda, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 074007 (2002) [hep-ph/0109117].
[15] E. E. Jenkins, Nucl. Phys. B **368**, 190 (1992).
[16] F.-K. Guo, C. Hanhart, U.-G. Meissner, Eur. Phys. J. A **40**, 171 (2009).
[17] P. Wang and X. G. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 014030 (2012).
[18] P. Wang and X. G. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 042002 (2013).
[19] Feng-Kun Guo, Liuming Liu, Ulf-G. Meissner, Ping Wang, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 074506 (2013).
[20] S. Godfrey and J. Napolitano, Rev. Mod. Phys. **71**, 1411 (1999) [hep-ph/9811410].
[21] E. Eichten, S. Godfrey, H. Mahlke and J. L. Rosner, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 1161 (2008) [hep-ph/0701208].
[22] A. De Rujula, H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. D **12**, 147 (1975).
[23] S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D **32**, 189 (1985).
[24] S. Capstick and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D **34**, 2809 (1986).
[25] L. Y. .Glozman and D. O. Riska, Phys. Rept. **268**, 263 (1996) [hep-ph/9505422].
[26] Z. -Y. Zhang, A. Faessler, U. Straub and L. Y. .Glozman, Nucl. Phys. A **578**, 573 (1994).
[27] Z. Y. Zhang, Y. W. Yu, P. N. Shen, L. R. Dai, A. Faessler and U. Straub, Nucl. Phys. A **625**, 59 (1997).
[28] L.R. Dai, Z.Y. Zhang, Y.W. Yu and P. Wang, Nucl. Phys. A **727**, 321 (2003).
[29] F. J. Llanes-Estrada and S. R. Cotanch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 1102 (2000) [hep-ph/9906359].
[30] F. J. Llanes-Estrada, S. R. Cotanch, A. P. Szczepaniak and E. S. Swanson Phys. Rev. C **70**, 035202 (2004) [hep-ph/0402253].
[31] F. J. Llanes-Estrada and S. R. Cotanch, Nucl. Phys. A **697**, 303 (2002)
[32] A. Szczepaniak, E. S. Swanson, C. -R. Ji and S. R. Cotanch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 2011 (1996) [hep-ph/9511422].
[33] S. R. Cotanch, A. P. Szczepaniak, E. S. Swanson and C. R. Ji, Nucl. Phys. A **631**, 640C (1998).
[34] S. R. Cotanch and F. J. Llanes-Estrada, Nucl. Phys. A **689**, 481 (2001).
[35] F. J. Llanes-Estrada and S. R. Cotanch, Phys. Lett. B **504**, 15 (2001) [hep-ph/0008337].
[36] I. J. General, S. R. Cotanch and F. J. Llanes-Estrada, Eur. Phys. J. C **51**, 347 (2007) [hep-ph/0609115].
[37] I. J. General, P. Wang, S. R. Cotanch and F. J. Llanes-Estrada, Phys. Lett. B **653**, 216 (2007) [arXiv:0707.1286 [hep-ph]].
[38] P. Wang, S. R. Cotanch and I. J. General, Eur. Phys. J. C **55**, 409 (2008) [arXiv:0801.4810 [hep-ph]].
[39] W. Xie, L. Q. Mo, P. Wang and S. R. Cotanch, Phys. Lett. B **725**, 148 (2013).
[40] F. Gross and J. Milana, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 2401 (1991).
[41] D. V. Bugg, Eur. Phys. J. C **33**, 505 (2004).
[42] J. Beringer et al, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 010001 (2012).
[43] S. Theberge, Anthony William Thomas, Gerald A. Miller, Phys. Rev. D **22** 2838, (1980), Erratum-ibid. D **23** 2106 (1981).